

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/807,665	06/28/2001	Carlos F. Barbas	TSRI 645.1	TSRI 645.1 2213	
26621	7590 07/08/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	PS RESEARCH INST	CARLSON,	CARLSON, KAREN C		
OFFICE OF PATENT COUNSEL, TPC-8 10550 NORTH TORREY PINES ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LA JOLLA,			1653		

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/807,665	BARBAS, CARLOS F.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D.	1653	

	Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D.	1653						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			pecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		IE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			4h - i					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	eaucing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		,						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	` ''	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		. timely filed amendm	ent canceling					
the non-allowable claim(s).		-	-					
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq \) will not be entered, or b) \(\subseteq \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1</u> .		•						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 22-37.								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
3. \square The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but								
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	•		•					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
13. Other:		•						
	•							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants have added new claims 38-50, drawn to subject matter previously withdrawn and canceled. .

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants urge (pages 6-8) that the amended claims should be enterred because no new matter or issues have been raised. Applicants are referred to the Final Rejection wherein these arguments were addressed at pages 2-3.

Applicants argue that the term "consisting essentially of SEQ ID NO: 41" limits the scope of the claim to the specified material and those that do not materially affect the basic and novel characteristics of the material. Further, the Zn finger proteins of Barbas et al. are provided by minimal modification of the w-t Zif268 while the Zn finger polypeptides of the invention are derived by modular assembly and are not directly related to Zif268.

It is noted in the Final Rejection, the term "consisiting essentially of" is open language, equivalent to "comprising" for the purposes of examining polymers. Applicants definition is understood, for example, for pharmaceutical compositions consisting essentially of an active agent and other materials that do not affect the basic characteristics of the active agent, such as stabilizers. Thus, the rejection stands.

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

aun Cachan Carlson P